**Leon County Schools** 

## **Lively Technical College**



2019-20 School Improvement Plan

### **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
School Demographics	
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	6
Planning for Improvement	10
Title I Requirements	10
Budget to Support Goals	11

## **Lively Technical College**

500 APPLEYARD DR, Tallahassee, FL 32304

www.livelytech.com

#### **Demographics**

Principal: Shelly Bell Start Date for this Principal: 6/1/2018

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-Adult
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Career and Technical Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	[Data Not Available]
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	
School Grade	2018-19:
	2017-18:
	2016-17:
School Grades History	2015-16:
	2014-15:
	2013-14:
2019-20 School Improvement (SI)	Information*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	<u>Jeff Sewell</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	
Year	
Support Tier	NOT IN DA
ESSA Status	
* A - de Constant and Dule CA 1 000011 Floride Administrative	Code Forman information aliab

<sup>\*</sup> As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <u>click</u> <u>here</u>.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Leon County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Last Modified: 9/9/2019 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 11

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of Lively Technical College is to provide career-oriented education to the community.

#### Provide the school's vision statement

Our vision is to lead workforce training by utilizing industry driven educational solutions and community partnerships to equip students to meet the needs of local and global employers.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

	Name	Title
Bell, Shelly		Other
Other		
Richardson, Richard		Other
Other		

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/26/2019

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
ilidicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IOLAI
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018					
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State			
ELA Achievement	0%	57%	56%	0%	57%	56%			
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	51%	0%	52%	53%			
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	40%	42%	0%	37%	44%			
Math Achievement	0%	56%	51%	0%	52%	51%			
Math Learning Gains	0%	47%	48%	0%	42%	48%			
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	47%	45%	0%	42%	45%			
Science Achievement	0%	67%	68%	0%	64%	67%			
Social Studies Achievement	0%	82%	73%	0%	77%	71%			

#### **EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey**

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)											
indicator	9	10	11	12	Total							
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)							
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)							
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)							
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)							
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)							

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

	ELA									
Grade Year		School	chool District Dist Compa		State	School- State Comparison				
09	2019									
	2018									
Cohort Comparison										
10	2019									
	2018									
Cohort Com	nparison	0%								

	MATH							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison		

Last Modified: 9/9/2019 https://www.floridacims.org Page 7 of 11

	SCIENCE								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year School		District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVI	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
<u>'</u>		ALGEI	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup I	Data										
2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

#### **ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index - All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	

#### **Subgroup Data**

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends

N/A

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline

N/A

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends

N/A

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

N/A

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year

- 1. Improve percentage of students classified as full completers.
- 2. Increase the number of industry recognized credentials/certifications earned.
- 3. Increase the percentage of students passing license examinations.
- 4. Improve student attendance.

#### **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

for all programs

# #1 Increase the percent of full completers

#### **Rationale**

**Title** 

State the measureable outcome the school plans to achieve

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome** [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy** 

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	
Action Step	
Description	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Person Responsible	[no one identified]

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information)

To increase the percent of full completers, Lively Technical College will:

- 1. Encourage students to enroll in the Applied Academics for Adult Education (AAAE) course and monitor weekly attendance and work progress/completion of online curriculum.
- 2. Student services will meet with students who have missed 4 or more classes and who are in trouble of being withdrawn due to attendance.
- 3. Programs will establish help sessions for students who will be sitting for their industry certification/licensure exam to provide additional support aligned to assessment.

#### **Part IV: Title I Requirements**

#### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students

N/A

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services

N/A

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another

N/A

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact

N/A

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations

N/A

	Part V: Budget	
	1 III.A Areas of Focus: Increase the percent of full completers for all programs	\$0.00
Ī	Total:	\$0.00