**Leon County Schools** 

# **Lively Technical College**



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

chool Information eeds Assessment lanning for Improvement	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	11
Positive Culture & Environment	12
Budget to Support Goals	13

## **Lively Technical College**

500 APPLEYARD DR, Tallahassee, FL 32304

www.livelytech.com

## **Demographics**

Principal: Shelly Bell Start Date for this Principal: 9/30/2020

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-Adult
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Career and Technical Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	[Data Not Available]
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI)	Information*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Jeff Sewell
Turnaround Option/Cycle	
Year	
Support Tier	NOT IN DA
ESSA Status	

<sup>\*</sup> As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <u>click</u> <u>here</u>.

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Leon County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Last Modified: 9/30/2020 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 13

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of Lively Technical College is to provide career-oriented education to the community.

### Provide the school's vision statement

Our vision is to lead workforce training by utilizing industry driven educational solutions and community partnerships to equip students to meet the needs of local and global employers.

### School Leadership Team

### **Membership**

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Bell, Shelly	Other	* Manages faculty resources, administrative staff and other school resources.  * Develops and implement academic enhancement programs for students.  * Works towards enhancing the quality of education offered in the institution.  * Conducts internal curriculum reviews to ensure content is current and relevant, and meets quality standards.  * Oversees the development of new academic programs and the integration of learning technologies into instructional programs
Lambert, Paul		

### **Demographic Information**

### **Principal start date**

Wednesday 9/30/2020, Shelly Bell

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

### **Demographic Data**

<b>2020-21 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-Adult
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Career and Technical Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	[Data Not Available]
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI)	Information*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Jeff Sewell
Turnaround Option/Cycle	
Year	
Support Tier	NOT IN DA
ESSA Status	

<sup>\*</sup> As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

## **Early Warning Systems**

### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantos		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 9/30/2020

### **Prior Year - As Reported**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e L	ev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### **Prior Year - Updated**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e L	ev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	0%	57%	56%	0%	57%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	51%	0%	52%	53%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	40%	42%	0%	37%	44%	
Math Achievement	0%	56%	51%	0%	52%	51%	
Math Learning Gains	0%	47%	48%	0%	42%	48%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	47%	45%	0%	42%	45%	
Science Achievement	0%	67%	68%	0%	64%	67%	

Last Modified: 9/30/2020 https://www.floridacims.org Page 8 of 13

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	82%	73%	0%	77%	71%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey									
Indicator	Grad	Total							
indicator	9	10	11	12	IOLAI				
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)				

### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA	l		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison					
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

MATH										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				

	SCIENCE										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					

		BIOLO	OGY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVI	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

		HIST	ORY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOM	ETRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

## Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%		SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

### **ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

This data has been aparted for the 2010-15 school year as of 7/10/2015.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index - All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	

## Subgroup Data

### **Analysis**

### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends

N/A

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline

N/A

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends

N/A

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

N/A

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year

- 1. N/A
- 2. N/A
- 3. N/A
- 4. N/A
- 5. N/A

## **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

### **Areas of Focus:**

No activities were entered for this section.

**Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities** 

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Lively Technical College's accreditation through the Council on Occupational Education (COE) requires Lively to annually evaluate program outcomes through a systematic plan for assessing program effectiveness, efficiency, and relevance by achieving specified quantitative requirements with respect to program completion rates, placement and licensure.

For the next three years: (2021, 2022, 2023)

- Lively will increase overall school completion rates by 2% annually.
- Lively will increase placement rates by 2% or higher in all programs.
- Lively will maintain a 90% of higher licensure rate (for applicable programs).

### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

All programs at Lively Technical College have their own Occupational Advisory Committee. Occupational Advisory Committees (OAC) are essential to the viable function of Career and Technical Education (CTE) and professional educational programs leading directly to employment and/or advancement in the work force, to receive retraining for new or specialized careers, or to continue an education pathway. OAC members advise the College relating to the technological, social, and economic areas which require effective lines of communication between education, business and industry. OAC committees help to ensure the employment environment is addressed in the program curriculum, equipment and instruction to align programs to meet the needs and changes in the work force. Business, industry, government and other organizations benefit by having skilled and knowledgeable employees based on this partnership with the Lively.

Members are selected to serve on an OAC because of their knowledge, expertise and understanding in their area of specialization. OAC Chairs, committee members, or Lively administrators may suggest a new member at any time.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Last Modified: 9/30/2020 https://www.floridacims.org Page 12 of 13

Part V: Budget	
Total:	\$0.00